

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	1	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	. CONFIRMATION NO
09/522,160	03/09/2000		Brian K. Estabrook	PROSL-7	2418
75	90 02/27/2003		•	i,	
Pandiscio & Pandiscio		٠.,	•	EXAMINER	
470 Totten Pond Rd Waltham, MA 02451-1914				THOMPSON, KATHRYN L	
		-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•				

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

••		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	Office Action Commons	09/522,160	ESTABROOK ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kathryn L Thompson	3763			
P riod fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 L</u>	<u>December 2002</u> .				
2a)□	<u> </u>	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application	l.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-22 and 26-30</u> is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 23-25 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)🖾 ¯	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🛛 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 March 2000</u> is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.				
12) 🔲 🛚	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	·				
•	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•				
)	* *				
Attachment	t(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Ti PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 6			

Application/Control Number: 09/522,160

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Species 6, Claims 23-25 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.

Priority

Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows:

The second application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the first application (the parent or provisional application); the disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the second application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ 2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Examiner did not find any disclosure in the specification of: an annulus, inwardly directed protrusions, opposed legs, an inwardly-extending ridge, the lock member, the lock bolt legs, and the lock bolt flanges,

Application/Control Number: 09/522,160

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rej ctions - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 25 recites the limitation "the lock member ridge" in Line13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 25 recites the limitation "the lock bolt legs" in Lines 13-14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 25 recites the limitation "the lock bolt flanges" in Line 15. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Also with regards to Claim 25, the word, "each," in Line 3, does not clearly specify what "each" is referring to. Is Applicant referring to the bifurcated internal lock bolt? Is Applicant referring to the opposed legs?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application

Application/Control Number: 09/522,160

Art Unit: 3763

by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Estabrook et al (US 5,911,706). Estabrook et al discloses a port device for implanting in a patient for subcutaneous access comprising a housing having a needle receiving apparatus, lock means comprising a collet, and a lock member responsive to application of a cam means (Figures 1B-1D, 2A-2B).

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ensminger et al (US 5,527,277). Ensminger et al discloses a port device for implanting in a patient for subcutaneous access comprising a housing having a needle receiving apparatus, lock means comprising a collet, and a lock member responsive to application of a cam means (Figure 3).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn L Thompson whose telephone number is 703-

Art Unit: 3763

305-3286. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 6:00 PM: 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

KLT February 24, 2003

MICHAEL J. HAYES PRIMARY EXAMINER